



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/862,390	05/21/2001	Andrew D. Padawer	50037.26US1	8902
27488 7590 01/08/2007 MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT) P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			EXAMINER PESIN, BORIS M	
			ART UNIT 2174	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE			MAIL DATE	
3 MONTHS			01/08/2007	
			DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/862,390

Applicant(s)

PADAWER ET AL.

Examiner

Boris Pesin

Art Unit

2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 October 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,6,7,11,12,16,17,21,22,26,27 and 31-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,6,7,11,12,16,17,21,22,26,27 and 31-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This communication is responsive to the amendment filed 10/06/2006.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12, 16, 17, 21, 22, 26, 27 and 31-39 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 11, 21 and 31-33 are independent claims. In the amendment filed 10/06/2006, Claims 1, 11, 21 and 31-33 were amended and claims 34-39 were added as new. This action is made Final.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2174

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12, 16, 17, 21, 22, 26, 27 and 31-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dickman et al. (US 5877765) in view of Ivens Kathy (Optimizing the Windows Registry).

In regards to claim 1, Dickman teaches a method for providing shortcuts in a mobile electronic device, the method comprising:

providing an application neutral shortcut data store that maintains shortcut data for a plurality of application types, wherein the shortcut data is configurable to include a lookup table, wherein the targets comprise application targets and content targets (See Figure 4, Elements 56, 52, and all the other icons on the screen; Column 11 Lines 30-37);

monitoring user input to the mobile electronic device from a shortcut application (Column 6, Lines 21-48, since Dickman's invention teaches a general operating system it is inherent that it runs on a laptop computer which is a mobile electronic device);

determining whether the user input is a shortcut input, wherein the shortcut input comprises a shortcut tag, and further wherein the shortcut corresponds to a shortcut target in the lookup table (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52 and Column 6, Lines 21-48);

locating the shortcut target in the lookup table based on the shortcut tag wherein the user input is a shortcut input (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52 and Column 6, Lines 21-48);

executing the application when the located shortcut target is an application (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52 and Column 6, Lines 21-48, i.e. internet browser); and

Art Unit: 2174

executing the application and automatically opening the content data when the shortcut target is a content target (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52 and Column 6, Lines 21-48, i.e. opens the internet browser to a specific web page).

Dickman does not specifically teach a lookup table that associates tags with different types of targets. However, Dickman alludes to using a registry to locate the appropriate client application (See Column 11, Lines 30-47). Ivens further adds,

HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT is the same for Windows 95, Windows 98, and Windows NT 4. This section of the registry is in charge of three important tasks:

- Keeping track of the file extensions and their associations with file types. A group of file extension subkeys is devoted to this purpose.
- Keeping track of the programs associated with the file types that are registered in the system. A group of class-definition subkeys is devoted to this information.
- Keeping track of information about OLE objects and documents. Within the subkey \CLSID are the class identifier subkeys that are devoted to tracking this information.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dickman with the teachings of Ivens and include a robust lookup table (i.e. registry) with the motivation to provide the user a simple and convenient method of accessing many different applications and application types using shortcuts.

In regards to claim 2, Dickman-Ivens teaches all the limitations of claim 1.

Dickman further teaches a method wherein the types of targets include at least one selected from a group comprising: telephone numbers, email address, uniform resource locator (URL), and contact cards (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52).

In regards to claim 6, Dickman-Ivens teaches all the limitations of claim 1.

Dickman further teaches a method wherein the shortcut input comprises more than one

type (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52, the user can either double click to trigger the action or just press enter).

In regards to claim 7, Dickman-Ivens teaches all the limitations of claim 6. Dickman further teaches a method wherein the types of shortcut input include at least one selected from a group comprising: a speed dial input, a voice input, a menu item selection input, and an icon selection input (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52, the user can either double click to trigger the action or just press enter).

Claims 11, 12, 16, and 17 are similar in scope to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 21, 22, 26, and 27 are similar in scope to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 31-33 are similar in scope to claim 1 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

In regards to claim 34, Dickman-Ivens teaches all the limitations of claim 31. Dickman further teaches a device wherein the types of targets include at least one selected from a group comprising: telephone numbers, email addresses, uniform resource locators (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52 and Column 6, Lines 21-48), and contact cards.

In regards to claim 35, Dickman-Ivens teaches all the limitations of claim 31. Dickman further teaches a device wherein the shortcut input comprises more than one type (See Figure 4, all the icons on the screens are shortcuts to the applications).

Art Unit: 2174

In regards to claim 36, Dickman-Ivens teaches all the limitations of claim 34.

Dickman further teaches a device wherein the types of shortcut input includes one selected from a group comprising: a speed dial input, a voice input, a menu item selection input, and an icon selection input (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52 and Column 6, Lines 21-48).

Claims 37, 38, and 39 are similar in scope to claims 34, 35, and 36 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12, 16, 17, 21, 22, 26, 27 and 31-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris Pesin whose telephone number is (571) 272-4070. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday except every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BP

Bristine Lincaid
BRISTINE LINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2